



THE CLUSTER GROUPING HANDBOOK

>>> Revised & Updated Edition

**How to Challenge Gifted Students
and Improve Achievement for All**



A SCHOOLWIDE MODEL

- > Definitive guide to planning, implementing, and evaluating
- > A wealth of teacher-tested classroom strategies
- > Complete professional development plans

PRAISE FOR THE **CLUSTER GROUPING** HANDBOOK

“Dedicated teachers work tirelessly to differentiate effectively for the increasingly diverse groups of students in their general education classrooms, but they often lack the expertise and the support it takes to meet the needs of gifted students. In this revised edition of *The Cluster Grouping Handbook*, Brulles and Winebrenner provide both! Compelling, research-based rationale for the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM); specific, practical advice for implementing and maintaining high-quality cluster grouping services in a variety of settings; professional learning strategies, including a complete plan for creating a SCGM PLC; model communications with parents and other stakeholders; and much, much more—it’s all here! I consider *The Cluster Grouping Handbook* to be an indispensable guide for all teachers and leaders who care about meeting the needs of gifted learners in the regular classroom, the setting where most gifted students spend the majority of their time and where educators have the greatest opportunity to improve learning for all!”

—**Sally Krisel**, Director of Innovative and Advanced Programs, Hall County School, Gainesville, Georgia, and Past President, National Association for Gifted Children

“*The Cluster Grouping Handbook* is a must-read for anyone working with gifted education! This updated resource provides the necessary tips and tools for any school or district to engage in successful implementation of the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model. The authors define SCGM across multiple settings and scenarios, providing step-by-step guidance for both new and experienced coordinators responsible for identification and services for advanced learners. In addition to the many sample forms and reproducibles, this handbook offers language for communication and support with parents and teachers, as well as realistic teaching strategies for differentiation and personalized learning opportunities so all students are challenged.”

—**Michelle Swain, M.Ed.**, Director of Gifted and Advanced Academic Services, Round Rock Independent School District, Round Rock, Texas

“This book is AWESOME! Brulles and Winebrenner hit another home run with their revised and updated *Cluster Grouping Handbook*. The structure of the book is well thought out, the content is reader friendly, and the reproducible pages cover all the bases for program implementation. It is a practitioner’s best friend, a guide for administrators, and a parent’s hope for services that make a difference. The inclusive tone of the book also champions equity, excellence, and a commitment to do what’s in the best interest of students. This resource is a professional development treasure for the field of gifted education.”

—**Dr. Jaime A. Castellano**, Professor, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida

THE CLUSTER GROUPING HANDBOOK

>>> Revised & Updated Edition

A SCHOOLWIDE MODEL



**How to Challenge Gifted Students
and Improve Achievement for All**

Dina Brulles, Ph.D.

Susan Winebrenner, M.S.

Foreword by Scott J. Peters, Ph.D.

free spirit
PUBLISHING®



Copyright © 2019, 2008 by Dina Brulles, Ph.D., and Susan Winebrenner, M.S.

All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright Conventions. Unless otherwise noted, no part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without express written permission of the publisher, except for brief quotations or critical reviews. For more information, go to freespirit.com/permissions.

Free Spirit, Free Spirit Publishing, and associated logos are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Teacher Created Materials. A complete listing of our logos and trademarks is available at freespirit.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Brulles, Dina, author. | Winebrenner, Susan, 1939– author.

Title: The cluster grouping handbook : how to challenge gifted students and improve achievement for all : a schoolwide model / written by Dina Brulles and Susan Winebrenner.

Description: Revised and updated edition. | Minneapolis, MN : Free Spirit Publishing, [2019] | Revised edition of: The cluster grouping handbook : a schoolwide model : how to challenge gifted students and improve achievement for all / Susan Winebrenner, Dina Brulles. ?2008. | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018060610 | ISBN 9781631983566 (pbk.) | ISBN 1631983563 (pbk.) | ISBN 9781631983573 (Web PDF) | ISBN 9781631983580 (ePub)

Subjects: LCSH: Gifted children—Education—United States. | Mainstreaming in education—United States. | Group work in education—United States. | Academic achievement—United States.

Classification: LCC LC3993.9 .W556 2019 | DDC 371.2/54—dc23 LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2018060610>

Free Spirit Publishing does not have control over or assume responsibility for author or third-party websites and their content. At the time of this book's publication, all facts and figures cited within are the most current available. All telephone numbers, addresses, and website URLs are accurate and active; all publications, organizations, websites, and other resources exist as described in this book; and all have been verified as of April 2019. If you find an error or believe that a resource listed here is not as described, please contact Free Spirit Publishing. Parents, teachers, and other adults: We strongly urge you to monitor children's use of the internet.

Permission is granted to reproduce the pages included in the list of reproducible pages (page xi) or in the digital content that goes with this book for individual, classroom, and small group use only. Other photocopying or reproduction of these materials is strictly forbidden. For licensing and permissions information, contact the publisher.

The Compactor form used in chapters 5 and 6 is adapted from a document originally published in 1978 by Creative Learning Press, Inc. Used with permission from Creative Learning Press.

Some of the information, strategies, and forms in part 2, along with information on students who are twice-exceptional (pages 58–61), are based on material in *Teaching Gifted Kids in the Regular Classroom* by Susan Winebrenner (Minneapolis: Free Spirit Publishing, 2018) and *Teaching Kids with Learning Difficulties in Today's Classroom* (Minneapolis: Free Spirit Publishing, 2014), and are adapted with permission of the publisher.

Material on Depth of Knowledge in chapter 6 is adapted from pages 112–115 and page 139 of *A Teacher's Guide to Flexible Grouping and Collaborative Learning* by Dina Brulles and Karen L. Brown (Minneapolis: Free Spirit Publishing, 2018) and is adapted with permission of the publisher.

Edited by Christine Zuchora-Walske
Cover and interior design by Shannon Pourciau

Free Spirit Publishing

An imprint of Teacher Created Materials
9850 51st Avenue North, Suite 100
Minneapolis, MN 55442
(612) 338-2068
help4kids@freespirit.com
freespirit.com

Dedication

We dedicate this book to all educators who share our interest in helping structure and provide gifted-education services for all students, in all schools, as an integral part of the school day. We appreciate your vision and thank you for your efforts.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to Free Spirit publisher Judy Galbraith and her staff, whose support of our learners' social, emotional, and academic needs continues to provide essential resources to educators, parents, and students.

To Dr. Marcia Gentry, director of the Gifted Education Research and Resource Institute (GERI) and professor of educational psychology and research methodology at Purdue University, for her work pioneering gifted cluster grouping as a practitioner, professor, and researcher.

To Karen L. Brown, gifted-program mentor in Paradise Valley Unified School District, whose inspiration, sage advice, and practical understanding of gifted children in school settings guides teachers of gifted learners in our school district and across the country.

To the gifted specialists in Paradise Valley Unified School District, who lead and support the gifted-cluster teachers in our schools.

To Christine Zuchora-Walske, whose expert editing revised and improved this resource.

Reviewers

Richard M. Cash, Ed.D.

President, nRich Consulting

Marcia Gentry, Ph.D.

Professor, Purdue University

Jason MacIntosh, Ph.D.

Gifted Coordinator, Washington Elementary School District, Arizona

Doug Orr

Associate Superintendent, Natomas Unified School District, California

Cheryl McCullough

Supervisor in Arlington Public Schools, Virginia

Joy Davis, Ed.D.

Professor, Virginia Union University

Randi Cutler

Gifted Specialist, Paradise Valley USD, Arizona

Jonathon Plucker, Ph.D.

Professor, Johns Hopkins University

Jack Naglieri, Ph.D.

Research Professor, University of Virginia

Contents

List of Figures	x
List of Reproducible Pages	xi
Foreword by Scott J. Peters, Ph.D.....	xii
Introduction	1
Why Meet the Learning Needs of High-Ability Students?	2
Meeting the Needs of All Students: The Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM)	3
What the Research Says About Cluster Grouping	5
The SCGM: Who Benefits, and How?	5
Impact of the SCGM on Gifted Students	6
Impact of the SCGM on English Language Learners	6
Impact of the SCGM on All Students	7
Impact of the SCGM on Teachers	8
Impact of the SCGM on Administrators	8
Impact of the SCGM on Parents	8
About This Book and Digital Content	9

Part 1: Implementing the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM)..... 13

Chapter 1: What Is the SCGM?	
How Does It Work?	14
Guiding Questions	14
Overview of the SCGM.....	14
Placing Students in Cluster Groups.....	15
Purposeful Placement of All Students in All Classes	16
Grouping Variations in the SCGM	17
Configuring the Cluster Classrooms.....	20
Special Considerations.....	22
Considerations for Middle Schools	23
Other Placement Considerations.....	24
Cluster Grouping in Multi-Age Classes.....	24
Serving Kindergarten and Primary Gifted Students	24
Clustering All Special Populations	25

When New Gifted Students Enroll During the School Year.....	25
Placing Students Over Time	26
What the SCGM Looks Like in the Gifted-Cluster Classroom	26
Clustering Combined with Other Forms of Grouping or Gifted-Education Services	27
Cluster Grouping with Pull-Out Services.....	27
Cluster Grouping with Regrouping for Content Replacement	28
Cluster Grouping with Flexible Grouping.....	28
Cluster Grouping with Both Content Replacement and Flexible Grouping	28
Cluster Grouping with an Inclusion Model	29
Questions Teachers, Parents, or Other School Stakeholders May Ask.....	29
Summary	31
Chapter 2: Planning and Introducing the SCGM.....	36
Guiding Questions	36
Step-by-Step SCGM Implementation	36
Developing a Timeline	37
Sharing Information with Principals, Teaching Staff, and Parents	39
Developing and Maintaining Support for the SCGM.....	41
Garnering Support for the SCGM in the School Community.....	41
Compatibility of the SCGM with Essential Gifted-Program Components.....	42
Teacher Engagement and Growth: Building a School Culture That Supports the SCGM	43
Teacher Rotation	44
Performance Pay and the SCGM	44
Expectations and Involvement of Parents of Gifted Students	44
Communication to Parents from School Office Staff	46
Introduction Letters to Parents from the Gifted-Cluster Teachers.....	47
The Critical Role of the Building Principal	47
Summary	47

Chapter 3: Identifying Students for Gifted-Cluster Groups53

Guiding Questions	53
Recognizing the Traits of Giftedness.....	55
Gifted Students' Learning and Behavioral Characteristics	55
Giftedness in Creative Thinking and Production.....	56
Gifted Students in the Primary Grades	56
Gifted Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CLD) Students	57
Traits to Look for in Culturally Diverse Students	57
Students Who Are Twice-Exceptional	58
Behaviors That May Indicate Twice-Exceptionality.....	60
Understanding the Social and Emotional Aspects of Giftedness.....	61
Characteristics That May Create Challenges in the Classroom	62
The Challenge of Perfectionism	63
Nonproductive Gifted Students	64
Gifted Students in Junior High or Middle School.....	64
Teacher and Parent Nominations	65
Recommended Forms to Include in a Teacher Nomination Packet	65
Recommended Forms to Include in a Parent Nomination Packet.....	65
Processing Nomination Information	66
Standardized Tests	66
Ability and Achievement Testing	66
Different Measures of Ability	67
Administering Ability Tests.....	68
Special Considerations When Identifying CLD Gifted Students.....	72
Parent Notification.....	72
Summary	73

Chapter 4: Staffing the SCGM90

Guiding Questions	90
Getting Started: How to Determine Who Should Teach the Gifted-Cluster Classrooms.....	90
Roles and Responsibilities of the Gifted-Cluster Teacher	92

Roles and Responsibilities of Support Specialists	92
Gifted Specialist or Gifted Mentor	93
Gifted Coordinator	94
Budget Concerns	95
Summary	95

Part 2: The SCGM in Action: How to Compact and Differentiate Curriculum for Advanced Learners 99

Chapter 5: Compacting and Differentiating Curriculum That Students Have Already Mastered100

Guiding Questions	100
Five Elements of Differentiation	101
How Compacting Meets the Needs of Gifted Students	102
A Few Words About Extra Credit, Enrichment, and Extensions	103
Beyond Learning Extensions: Acceleration in or out of the Classroom.....	104
Essential Teaching Skills for Gifted-Cluster Teachers	104
Curriculum Compacting	104
Curriculum Differentiation	105
Flexible Grouping Using Formative Assessments	105
Making Compacting and Differentiation Work Smoothly	105
Strategies for Compacting and Differentiating Previously Mastered Skill Work.....	107
Most Difficult First: Compacting and Differentiating One Lesson at a Time	107
Pretests with Extension Activities: Compacting and Differentiating One Week at a Time.....	109
Compacting and Differentiating for Students in Grades K-2.....	110
Learning Contracts: Compacting and Differentiating One Chapter or Unit at a Time.....	111
Using the Learning Contract Day by Day	115
Communicating with Parents About Learning Contracts and Extension Activities	116

Keeping Records of Student Work.....	116
The Daily Log of Extension Activities	116
The Compactor Record Sheet.....	117
The Extension Activities Feedback Form.....	117
Grading Extension Work	118
What About Homework?	119
Modifications of Compacting and Differentiation Techniques for the Whole Class	119
Most Difficult First for the Whole Class	119
Pretest for the Whole Class	120
Learning Contracts for the Whole Class	120
Building a Unified Learning Community	120
Designated Partner Talk	120
Classroom Academic Baseball.....	121
Summary	121
Chapter 6: Compacting and Differentiating Curriculum When the Content Is New to Students	133
Guiding Questions	133
Preparing to Work with Gifted Students in the Content Areas	134
Empowering Twice-Exceptional Students to Access Advanced Curriculum.....	134
Using Flexible Grouping in SCGM Classrooms.....	135
Using Learning Centers or Stations	136
Using the Curriculum Planning Chart	136
Planning Tiered Lessons	138
Using Bloom's Revised Taxonomy to Create Tiered Lessons	138
Incorporating Depth, Complexity, and Novelty into Curriculum Differentiation Planning	140
Developing Depth of Knowledge (DOK)	140
DOK Levels of Cognitive Rigor	140
Record Keeping with the Choice Activities Log	142
Using Study Guides and Extension Menus to Compact and Extend Learning	143
Two Ways to Use the Study Guide.....	146
Using Extension Menus	147
A Word About Independent Study.....	148
Grading Independent Study Projects.....	149

Using Primary Sources in Internet Study	150
Preparing Your Own Study Guides and Extension Menus.....	151
Helpful Information When Using Study Guides and Extension Menus	152
Project-Based Learning for All Students	152
Sustaining a Unified Learning Community.....	154
Structured Partner Discussion	154
The Name Card Method (Think-Pair-Share).....	154
Socratic Seminars.....	158
Roundtable Discussions.....	158
Walkabout	158
Expert Jigsaw	159
Summary	159

Part 3: Sustaining the SCGM..... 179

Chapter 7: Professional Learning Through Collaboration and Communication180

Guiding Questions	180
Preparing All Teachers for Schoolwide Cluster Grouping	180
Professional Learning Topics for SCGM Teachers	181
Topics of Particular Help to Gifted-Cluster Teachers.....	181
Topics That Help Teachers Address the Learning Needs of All Students.....	182
Finding Connections to the SCGM in All Staff Development Topics	182
What Are Effective Ways to Provide Ongoing Professional Training?	182
Teacher Workshops	183
Gifted-Cluster Coaching	184
Study Groups.....	185
Book Study Groups.....	186
Online Book Study Groups	186
Developing a Gifted Resource Site.....	187
Using Social Media for Cluster Teachers' Professional Learning.....	189
Peer Coaching: Ensuring Long-Term Implementation of Content Learned in Staff Development	190

Ongoing Meetings of SCGM Staff.....	193	Assessments to Use in Documenting Student Achievement Outcomes in the SCGM	211
Schoolwide Gifted-Cluster Teacher Meetings	193	A Grade-Level Approach to Analyzing Achievement Data	212
Districtwide Meetings of Gifted-Cluster Teachers and Gifted Specialists	194		
Gifted Specialist Meetings	194		
Communication for Professional Learning	195	Monitoring Gifted Students' Growth on Standardized Assessments.....	213
Gifted-Cluster Teacher Email Groups.....	195	Achievement Data: What Information Should Be Tracked?.....	215
Gifted Parent Newsletters	195	Student Self-Evaluations	217
Obtaining Gifted Endorsements or Certifications	197	Evaluating the Effectiveness of the SCGM as a Whole.....	219
Monitoring Teachers' Professional Growth.....	197	Obtaining Feedback from Teachers, Parents, and Students.....	219
Summary	198	Program Evaluation in the SCGM.....	219
		What to Include in an End-of-Year Summary Report	219
Chapter 8: Evaluating the Effectiveness of the SCGM.....	207	Summary	220
Guiding Questions	207		
Setting Goals for the SCGM.....	208	A Note to Parents.....	232
Establishing a Gifted-Student Database.....	209	References and Resources	235
Examining Student Progress Regarding Classroom Work.....	210	Glossary.....	246
Monitoring Teacher Training and Development.....	211	Index.....	250
Monitoring Individual Student Progress Grade by Grade	211	About the Authors.....	258



List of Figures

Figure 1 Percentages of Students at Different Ability Levels (Based on IQ Scores).....	3	Figure 5-5 Sample Entries from a Student's Compactor Record Sheet	118
Figure 2 Example of Classroom Compositions for the SCGM (for a Single Grade Level)	4	Figure 6-1 Sample Curriculum Planning Chart.....	137
Figure 1-1 Example of Classroom Compositions for the SCGM (for a Single Grade Level)	16	Figure 6-2 Taxonomy Triangle for Typical Learners	138
Figure 1-2 Recommended Cluster Grouping for Grade Level with Few Gifted Students	18	Figure 6-3 Taxonomy Triangle for Gifted Learners	138
Figure 1-3 Recommended Cluster Grouping for Grade Level with Many Gifted Students.....	19	Figure 6-4 Samples of Tiered Lessons in Several Subject Areas	139
Figure 1-4 Recommended Cluster Grouping for Multi-Age Classes and Related Grade-Level Classes.....	19	Figure 6-5 Webb's Depth of Knowledge: Context Ceilings.....	141
Figure 1-5 Recommended Cluster Grouping in a Grade Level with Many Students in Groups 1 and 5	20	Figure 6-6 Sample Activities at All DOK Levels.....	142
Figure 1-6 Sample Completed Grade-Level Data by School Chart	20	Figure 6-7 Sample Choice Activities Log	143
Figure 1-7 Sample SCGM Classroom Composition Planning Form	21	Figure 6-8 Study Guide Example: Mythology	145
Figure 2-1 Establishing the SCGM: Year 1—Planning Year	37	Figure 6-9 Extension Menu Example: Mythology.....	147
Figure 2-2 Establishing the SCGM: Year 2—Implementing Year	38	Figure 6-10 Sample Letter to Parents Explaining the Study Guide and Independent Activities.....	149
Figure 2-3 Referrals for SCGM Contact Information	46	Figure 6-11 Examples of Project Subtopics and Questions	154
Figure 3-1 Characteristics of Gifted Students Without Disabilities/With Disabilities	59	Figure 7-1 Effective Study Groups	185
Figure 3-2 Characteristics of Students Who Have ADHD/Who Are Gifted	60	Figure 7-2 Benefits of Peer Coaching to Support Application of New Teaching Techniques	191
Figure 3-3 Sample Gifted Testing Schedule for a School District	69	Figure 7-3 The Cluster Café.....	196
Figure 4-1 Sample Posting to Invite Applications for the Position of Gifted Specialist	93	Figure 8-1 Sample Goals for the SCGM	208
Figure 5-1 Examples of Entry-Level and Advanced Tasks for Primary Students.....	110	Figure 8-2 Gifted Population Growth Over Six Years.....	210
Figure 5-2 Learning Contract	112	Figure 8-3 Types of Assessments	212
Figure 5-3 Sample Letter to Parents Explaining Learning Contracts and Extension Activities.....	116	Figure 8-4 Passing Rates: Subgroups at Title I versus Non-Title I Schools	215
Figure 5-4 Sample Entries from a Student's Daily Log of Extension Activities	117	Figure 8-5 Academic Effects of Cluster Grouping	216
		Figure 8-6 Overall Academic Effects of Cluster Grouping on Nongifted Students	217
		Figure 8-7 Sample Student's Self-Evaluation of Academic Progress.....	218

List of Reproducible Pages

These forms are also available in the digital content for this book. See page 257 for information on how to download them.

Gifted-Education Programming: How to Know If Change Is Necessary in Your School	32
Grade-Level Data by School	33
SCGM Classroom Composition Planning Form.....	34–35
Letter to Parents of Gifted Students from the Gifted-Cluster Classroom Teacher	48
Frequently Asked Questions About the SCGM.....	49–50
Gifted-Education Resources That Support the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM).....	51–52
Gifted Testing Schedule	74
Differences Between the Bright Child and the Gifted Learner.....	75
Characteristics of Giftedness That Present Challenges	76
Letter to Parents About Gifted-Identification Testing.....	77
Parent Information Form: Gifted-Education Services	78–79
Permission to Test.....	80
Letter to Teachers About Gifted Identification	81
Teacher’s Class Screening Form for Nominating Students for Gifted Testing.....	82–83
Rating Scale for Gifted Services.....	84–86
Letter to Parents Reporting Testing and Placement for Students Who Qualify for Gifted Services.....	87
Letter to Parents of Gifted Students Introducing the SCGM.....	88
Letter to Parents Reporting Testing and Placement for Students Who Do Not Qualify for Gifted Services	89
Responsibilities of the SCGM Staff Members.....	96
Most Difficult First	122
How to Be a Checker.....	123
Five Essential Rules for Working Independently	124
Suggested Extension Activities for Primary Students	125
Suggested Extension Activities for Students in the Upper Grades	126
Teaching Skills to Students in Mixed-Ability Classes for Already-Mastered Content.....	127
Learning Contract.....	128

Letter to Parents Explaining Learning Contracts and Extension Activities.....	129
Daily Log of Extension Activities	130
The Compactor Record Sheet	131
Extension Activities Feedback Form	132
Teaching New Content to Students in Mixed-Ability Classes	160
Curriculum Planning Chart	161
Tiered Lesson Planning Chart.....	162
Taxonomy of Thinking	163
ThinkTrix Model.....	164–165
DOK Extension Lesson Template.....	166
Choice Activities Log	167
Extension Menu.....	168
Independent Study Agreement	169
Letter to Parents Explaining the Study Guide and Independent Activities	170
Evaluation Contract.....	171
Rubric Planning Form	172
Topic Development Sheet.....	173
Product Choices Chart.....	174
Project Planner for Primary Grades	175
Project Planner for Upper Grades	176–177
Teacher Self-Assessment Checklist.....	199–201
Administrator Observation Form: For Classrooms with a Gifted Cluster	202
Administrator Observation Form: For All Classrooms	203
Gifted-Cluster Teacher Meeting: Topic Planning Chart	204–205
Gifted-Cluster Teacher Meeting: Attendance Chart	206
Differentiated Education Plan for Gifted Elementary Students	221–222
Differentiated Education Plan for Gifted Middle School Students	223–224
Parent-Teacher Planning Guide for Conferences	225
Student’s Self-Evaluation of Academic Progress	226
Classroom Differentiation Opportunities: Teacher Assessment Survey	227–228
Classroom Differentiation Opportunities for Gifted Students: Parent/Guardian Assessment Survey.....	229–230
Classroom Differentiation Opportunities: Student Assessment Survey	231

Foreword

by Scott J. Peters, Ph.D.

American schools face a daunting challenge. Students have never been more diverse and have never entered the K–12 system with a wider range of needs. Students are diverse in their preschool education, informal learning experiences, racial and ethnic backgrounds, languages spoken at home, and exposure to trauma and violence—and in countless other ways. We as educators embrace them all and do what we can to help them learn and develop. For the “regular” education classroom teacher, meeting the needs of every child is nearly impossible. The typical classroom includes students who span eight grade levels in their academic readiness. This is just one way in which students vary, and the classroom teacher—often on his or her own—must teach them all.

I was a convert to cluster grouping. Although I studied under Marcia Gentry, one of the leading researchers of cluster grouping, I never saw it as “enough” for gifted students. When I began working with schools and writing *Beyond Gifted Education*, I struggled with the logistics of how to challenge students who were two, three, four, or more years above grade-level content while still maintaining diverse, integrated classrooms. I began to see that there are too many of these students to hire our way out of the problem. If every child who is above grade level needs a separate, full-time gifted teacher, we’re doomed to fail. So how do we expand the reach of the classroom teacher in order to challenge the greatest percentage of students possible? This question brought me to cluster grouping.

The Cluster Grouping Handbook is impressively practical. When I first read the book, I was surprised at how specific it was in its attention to every logistical, day-to-day action necessary to make cluster grouping work. As I read further, I began to understand that this level of practicality has come from the authors’ decades of experience implementing cluster grouping in school districts, as well as helping others do the same. When you read the pages of this book, you can tell that the authors have worked through every conceivable barrier, teacher concern, parent question, and student case. Specific details, such as how to handle performance pay and how to help building principals see the value of cluster grouping, are the rule rather than the exception. How should

you roll out cluster grouping? See chapter 2 as well as the provided presentations and frequently asked questions. How do teachers need to think differently about their lesson planning in a cluster grouping framework? See chapters 5 and 6. *The Cluster Grouping Handbook* is not theoretical; it addresses real challenges in a practical way with abundant suggestions and supports for common pitfalls.

I am often approached by school district leaders wondering how to get started serving advanced learners, or how they can challenge kids who need more while also furthering equity, narrowing achievement gaps, and meeting every state and national mandate. Every time, my answer is the same: cluster grouping and acceleration. Both of these interventions meet the needs of gifted students without additional staffing. Cluster grouping is not a panacea. There will be students who have needs that cannot be met through cluster grouping alone. But when educators implement cluster grouping, then pull-out programming, acceleration, and supporting students with multiple exceptionalities become easier. Cluster grouping is the foundation upon which all other advanced interventions should be built. Cluster grouping seeks to expand the reach, range, and effectiveness of the grade-level classroom, thus reserving more intense, time-consuming, and costly interventions for students who have even more advanced needs. If this sounds too philosophical or unrealistic, don’t worry. *The Cluster Grouping Handbook* opens by walking you through all these program interactions in chapter 1.

As you dive into this book, I hope you’ll keep in mind that the overall goal of gifted education is the same as that of K–12 education: to challenge as many students as possible as much of the time as possible. Every child deserves to learn something new every day. Cluster grouping is one way to expand the reach of a finite amount of instructional resources and staffing to challenge a wider range of learners in an inclusive and equitable fashion.

McFarland, Wisconsin, 2019

Introduction

The field of education in the United States is coming to the end of decades of reserving advanced learning opportunities only for students who have proven, through some district measure, that they are able to understand material that was designed for older learners. Educators in the United States now realize that the ways in which they have taught gifted students in the past can benefit many other students as well. The current thinking is that rigor must be woven into the majority of school experiences for all students for as much of the time as possible. There is new emphasis on problem-solving in all curricular areas and availability of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) and science, technology, engineering, art, and mathematics (STEAM) experiences for all students. More rigorous standards and learning experiences for all students are becoming the norm.

Dr. Jonathan Plucker, gifted education scholar at Johns Hopkins University, applauds the creation of more rigorous, internationally competitive standards in the United States. He explains that when a “low bar” is used to gauge successful student achievement, gifted students might not experience the rigor they need, and their access to appropriate instructional adjustments may be limited. Plucker says that differentiation strategies must be available to students for whom the learning tasks are not sufficiently challenging (Plucker 2015).

In other words, standards-based learning is not automatically challenging enough for gifted learners, and it may not be challenging enough for other learners either. The National Association for Gifted Children (NAGC) recommends the following interventions with any standards-based curriculum:

1. Provide pathways to accelerate standards-based learning for gifted learners.
2. Provide examples of differentiated task models to address specific standards.
3. Create interdisciplinary product opportunities to elevate learning and address multiple standards from various subject areas simultaneously.

We strongly support increasing access to truly challenging learning experiences not only for gifted learners, but also for students who may not have a history of high achievement. This book will help educators stop worrying about which students are truly gifted and instead concentrate on making all learning opportunities available for all students who can benefit from interacting with them. Any option in this book should be available to any student in any class who can demonstrate readiness for more rigorous learning. Students can, perhaps with some adjustments in the presentation of the material, be successful with the compacted and differentiated teaching techniques that have made this book so popular with the teachers and parents of gifted students.

Consider offering all students the opportunity to grow from where they are, not from where your teacher training courses say students should be. You will not harm a student by offering opportunities to complete more advanced work. Informally assessing all students to determine their entry levels into upcoming standards is just good teaching (Davidson Institute, accessed 2019). You will likely encounter students who are mildly, moderately, or profoundly gifted, and you will discover what instructional methods are most effective for varying levels of gifted ability.

A Note from the Authors About This Update

For this revised and updated edition of *The Cluster Grouping Handbook*, we have refreshed its content for a new age of required standards focused on depth and rigor. Cluster grouping used with gifted-education techniques can benefit not only students formally identified as gifted, but all students. In this second edition, we include updated information on the following topics:

- › grouping variations in the SCGM
- › building a school culture that supports the SCGM
- › using Bloom's Revised Taxonomy to create tiered lessons
- › differentiating instruction by developing depth and complexity through the Depth of Knowledge (DOK) framework
- › providing ongoing professional training through gifted-cluster coaching, developing a gifted resource site, and using social media
- › communicating through cluster-teacher email groups and gifted parent newsletters
- › the office's role in monitoring teachers' professional growth
- › analyzing achievement data and evaluating programs in the SCGM

Why Meet the Learning Needs of High-Ability Students?


On a day-to-day basis, the highest-ability students usually receive the least amount of their teachers' time. Because of their high test scores and grades, these students are expected to make it on their own or with a minimal amount of guidance.

The inequity of this situation, and what can be lost as a result, is strikingly illustrated by a bell curve showing the percentages of students at different ability levels. The bell curve may create unease among some educators, but we use it for one purpose only: to demonstrate that the learning needs of students at both ends of the learning continuum are identical.

Examine the bell curve in figure 1. To teach a class of students, effective teachers usually plan the content, pacing, and quantity of instruction based on what is known about typical students of the age and grade for that class. In a mixed-ability classroom, these are the students in the middle of a heterogeneous group—the students of average abilities on the bell curve. In this same classroom, there are some students who come to the grade level missing many of the basic understandings that typically would have been acquired in earlier grades. These are the students to the left on the bell curve. A third group of students are also part of this classroom: those who are ahead of their grade-level peers in what they know and can do. These are the students to the right on the bell curve.

When teachers discover struggling students in their class—those left of average on the bell curve—they make instant adjustments to their teaching methods. They may slow the pace a bit. They may lessen the amount of work for some students. They may change the methods they use to accommodate the learning styles of struggling students. They may change the way in which they interact with the students and pair them with partners who can work well with them. They may adjust the content to reinforce prerequisite concepts not learned in earlier grades.

Teachers make these necessary adjustments because the students' learning needs differ from the average. Now imagine folding the bell curve in half, left to right. You will see clearly that gifted students are as far removed from average on the right side of the curve as are struggling students on the left side. This fact alone provides a clear justification for the same intervention to accommodate their needs—an adjustment

FIGURE 1 Percentages of Students at Different Ability Levels (Based on IQ Scores)

of pacing, content, workload, and approach to teaching and learning. Gifted students need a faster pace, less practice with grade-level standards, an understanding of their independent work style, a teacher who is comfortable acting as a guide and coach, and opportunities to work with partners who have similar learning ability, style, interests, and preferences. They need this not because they are gifted, but because they are *not average*.

Grade-level standards describe what typical students should be able to learn at a certain age. When we accept the fact that gifted children are able to learn at levels that exceed their chronological age expectations, we immediately understand why grade-level standards must be adjusted for them. We do this because gifted students, like students who struggle to meet the standards, diverge from the norm.

Meeting the Needs of All Students: The Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM)

This book presents a unique approach to help schools meet the needs of all students, including

those who are gifted. It is called the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM). The practice of cluster grouping students has become the most commonly used method for serving gifted students throughout the country. This has occurred most likely because the method can provide full-time academic services to gifted students without major budget implications, and it has the potential to raise achievement for all students in the grade levels that are clustered.

With the SCGM, educators group gifted students into classrooms based on their abilities, while placing all other students according to their achievement levels. Cluster grouping with the SCGM is different from other cluster grouping methods because the SCGM carefully structures classroom compositions with two main goals: (1) to ensure a balance of abilities throughout the grade level *without returning to the practice of tracking* and (2) to reduce the learning range found in each classroom.

Figure 2 on page 4 shows an example of how these two goals can be accomplished by dividing the students at a given grade level into five groups. (For a more detailed explanation of forming clusters and placing them in classrooms, see chapter 1.) In the chart, Group 1 are the identified gifted students, who will be clustered together. Group 2 are high-achieving students who are not gifted but are very capable

learners. These students will be clustered and placed in the classes that do not have the gifted cluster. (Clustering gifted students and high-achieving students not identified as gifted in separate classrooms is a key component of the SCGM that has been shown to expand academic growth for both groups.) Group 3 are students with average* academic performance. These are students who typically perform at grade level. Group 4 are students whose performance is below average. These are students who typically perform below grade level. Group 5 are students who produce work that falls considerably below grade-level expectations, or those with significant learning challenges. Students who are identified as twice-exceptional—those who are gifted and also have a learning challenge—are placed in Group 1. So are identified gifted students who are not fluent in English. As shown in figure 2, typical gifted-cluster classrooms will include students from Groups 1, 3, and 4; the other classrooms at the grade level will include students from Groups 2, 3, 4, and 5. Ideally, no classroom will include both gifted students *and* students who perform far below average, so the achievement range in all classrooms will be narrower than that of a randomly heterogeneous classroom.

How does this type of clustering differ from tracking? The two main differences are that, in the SCGM, all classes are heterogeneous, and

they provide all students a varied curriculum. Teachers offer opportunities for moving faster or going deeper into the curriculum consistently to the entire class, which means there are times when some students in the gifted-cluster group (Group 1) will be experiencing differentiation and times when they won't. There are also times when students not identified as gifted can benefit from available differentiated learning opportunities.

This is different from a tracking system, in which all students are grouped by ability for much of the school day and are rarely exposed to learning experiences that extend their expected achievement ranges. In a tracking system, students are assigned a set curriculum based on their ability level, and they generally do not veer from that curriculum. With school-wide cluster grouping, every class in the grade level has students with a range of learning abilities and achievement levels. In order to reach that range, teachers naturally have to modify or extend the grade-level standards.

In the SCGM described in this book, all classes have high-performing students. While one or two classes have a cluster of gifted students, all other classes have a cluster of high-achieving students who, while not identified as gifted, can easily serve as positive academic role models. In a cluster model, learning opportunities are open to all students

FIGURE 2 Example of Classroom Compositions for the SCGM (for a Single Grade Level)

Classrooms	Group 1: Gifted	Group 2: High Achieving	Group 3: Average	Group 4: Below Average	Group 5: Far Below Average	Totals
A	6	0	12	12	0	30
B	0	6	12	6	6	30
C	0	6	12	6	6	30
Totals	6	12	36	24	12	90

*The term *average* is relative and refers to what is average for a school's specific population.

in the class, and teachers use their students' entry points, or readiness, to determine levels and pace of curriculum. Teachers are trained in differentiation and curriculum compacting, students receive ongoing assessment, and the results of schoolwide cluster grouping are continually evaluated.

What the Research Says About Cluster Grouping

Research documenting the benefits of keeping gifted students together in their areas of greatest strength for at least part of the school day supports the philosophy behind schoolwide cluster grouping (Brulles 2005; Kulik and Kulik 1990; Rogers 2002; Pierce et al. 2010; Brulles, Cohn, and Saunders 2010). Moreover, the research suggests that all students, including those categorized as average and below average, thrive when placed in heterogeneous classes according to the guidelines of the model (Gentry 1999; Brulles 2005; Pierce et al. 2011; Brulles, Saunders, and Cohn 2010).

The SCGM is an inclusion model that integrates students with exceptional learning needs into mixed-ability classrooms and expects teachers to provide appropriate differentiation opportunities for any students who need them. An inclusion model has already been in use for many years as a method of providing special education services to students who have been identified as having exceptional educational needs. However, it is only when a class has a noticeable group of gifted students—a cluster—that teachers will be most likely to accommodate *their* exceptional educational needs. When there are only one or two gifted students in a class, teachers tend to assume the students are learning as long as they are getting high grades. As a result, teachers may minimize or overlook gifted students' need for expanded learning opportunities. Teachers are also likely to count on these students to help other students with

their learning, a practice that robs gifted students of opportunities to move forward in academic areas.

Cluster grouping requires that teachers differentiate instruction. Differentiation occurs when teachers modify the curriculum and their instructional methods in response to the needs, strengths, learning styles, and interests of individual students so that *all* students have an opportunity to learn at their full potential. To be successful, the gifted-cluster teacher must have ongoing training in how to teach high-ability students in the cluster model (Winebrenner and Devlin 2001; Brulles 2005; Brulles, Saunders, and Cohn 2010). The SCGM creates a setting for providing appropriate instruction that is feasible for teachers and for enhancing the likelihood that differentiation will take place.

The SCGM: Who Benefits, and How?

The SCGM offers an educational approach that benefits all stakeholders in the school community — students, teachers, administrators, and parents. Grouping gifted children in a regular classroom can provide academic, social, and emotional advantages to the students and make teaching gifted students more manageable for teachers. Gifted students feel more comfortable when there are other students like them in the class. They are more likely to choose challenging tasks when they can do that work in the company of other students. Teachers attuned to differentiating instruction are more likely to provide appropriate learning opportunities for gifted students and for other students as well. The school is able to provide a full-time, cost-effective program for gifted students, since their learning needs are being met every day. Parents who are satisfied that their children are experiencing consistent challenge at school are more ready to work cooperatively with the school and the teachers and less likely to remove their children from their schools in search of a better option.

Benefits of the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model

- › serving the learning needs of gifted students in a full-time program that delivers consistent curriculum compacting and differentiation opportunities without major budget implications
- › grouping gifted students together in otherwise heterogeneous classes with a teacher who has special training in how to meet their unique learning needs
- › facilitating the emergence of new academic leaders in classes that do not contain a gifted cluster
- › providing another component to existing services available for gifted students in the school
- › improving support to classroom teachers from special education and gifted support staff who have fewer teachers' schedules to work with
- › improving student performance by communicating higher expectations for all students, by reducing the range of achievement and ability in all classes, and by providing staff development in gifted education for all teachers on staff
- › increasing gifted-education opportunities for primary-grade gifted children, for gifted students who are not fluent in English, and for gifted students who may not be experiencing success in school
- › increasing overall achievement at the grade levels that use cluster grouping
- › raising expectations for all students by opening access to classroom opportunities historically reserved for identified gifted students only
- › retaining families who may otherwise, as a result of feeling that their gifted children's needs are going unmet, choose to remove their children from the school and place them in a different school or provide homeschooling

Impact of the SCGM on Gifted Students

Gifted students who are clustered demonstrate high achievement because they experience more consistent challenge in their learning activities. Their scores on achievement tests show forward progress—rather than lost ground, as has been the case in some schools where gifted students are not placed in clusters or are not consistently challenged in other ways.

When gifted students are purposefully clustered in otherwise heterogeneous classes, rather than split up so that each class has one or two gifted students, teachers are much more likely to notice their learning needs. They also enjoy more attention to their social and emotional needs because of the specialized training the teachers receive.

Cluster grouping also makes it more likely that gifted kids will work to their full potential and take advantage of available differentiated learning opportunities, because they will have other students to work with on these advanced learning tasks. Having serious competition from other students like themselves, they begin to develop more realistic perceptions of their abilities and to better understand and accept their learning differences. With so many opportunities to work and learn together, gifted students become more comfortable working at extended levels of complexity and depth in a given subject or topic. Their willingness to take risks in learning experiences increases when they spend time with others who share the same interests, have similar abilities, and can also benefit from the available differentiation opportunities.

Impact of the SCGM on English Language Learners

Cluster grouping offers exciting opportunities for schools to meet the needs of gifted English language learners (ELL students). When gifted students are served only in a pull-out model, gifted students who are not proficient in English

are frequently kept out of gifted services because they cannot work at the same pace and level as the gifted students already proficient in English. With cluster grouping, extended learning opportunities are available in the regular classroom. When ELL students with high learning potential are present in classes that offer consistent challenge, they make faster progress attaining English fluency and academic achievement.

A significant increase in achievement in students of different ethnic groups can also be expected from using cluster grouping (Brulles 2005; Brulles, Saunders, and Cohn 2010). These findings result from classes in which teachers can be more focused and effective in their teaching. Another reason for the achievement gains is that gifted-education training required for gifted-cluster teachers helps teachers set high expectations for *all* students—and students respond positively to these high expectations.

Impact of the SCGM on All Students

Students at all ability levels benefit from the SCGM because in this model, teachers receive more training in how to differentiate the curriculum and the pacing for all types of students, placing learning success within the reach of all. In addition, the SCGM motivates gifted and high-achieving students to work more independently and allows them to spend more learning time on activities that interest and challenge them; this, in turn, opens up more time for teachers to spend with those who need additional assistance.

As teachers become more adept at recognizing giftedness in their students, the number of students they nominate for gifted testing increases yearly in schools that use the SCGM. This is especially noticeable in classes that do *not* have the gifted cluster, demonstrating the benefit of clustering high-achieving children who are *not* identified as gifted in separate classrooms. These classroom structures provide

opportunities for the high-achieving students to thrive and emerge as new academic leaders.

Research shows that implementation of the SCGM allows for consistent and comparable levels of achievement growth among students who are not identified as gifted. A 2012 study showed similar achievement gains for general education students (those not identified as gifted) regardless of their placement in a gifted-cluster classroom or another class in the grade level (Brulles, Peters, and Saunders 2012). These results may be attributed to the fact that in the SCGM, classes have a slightly narrowed range of achievement and ability levels.

Achievement Advisory

The SCGM has the potential to significantly improve academic achievement for all students, including English language learners, students from diverse cultures, high-achieving students, and average and below-average learners. Several studies analyzing academic achievement of students in schools that use cluster grouping yield similar results. Marcia Gentry, Mary Rizza, and Steven Owen report statistically significant academic achievement gains in math and reading in a three-year longitudinal study for students in grades three through five (Gentry, Rizza, and Owen 2002). These findings are supported by the research of Dina Brulles, Rachel Saunders, and Sanford Cohn, who analyzed student achievement in mathematics for eleven subgroups at all ability levels in a diverse urban elementary school that used a comprehensive cluster grouping model (Brulles, Saunders, and Cohn 2010). The latter research showed statistically significant achievement gains in mathematics for students regardless of perceived ability levels, gender, ELL status, or ethnicity. Research conducted by Dina Brulles, Scott Peters, and Rachel Saunders shows comparable achievement gains for gifted and nongifted students in this model (Brulles, Peters, and Saunders 2012). (For more details from these studies, see chapter 8.)

Impact of the SCGM on Teachers

Student achievement is positively correlated with effective teaching. The SCGM allows teachers to be more effective because teachers are teaching classes with a smaller range of learning levels. The system provides opportunities for teachers to respond more readily to the needs of all their students, to challenge gifted students clustered together in mixed-ability classes, and to engage in practices that lead to improved academic achievement for students not identified as gifted working at or above grade level. As a result, over time with the SCGM, more students in a school are identified as high achievers and fewer as low achievers.

For a school that already has a pull-out program for gifted students, the cluster model makes scheduling out-of-class activities easier. The resource (pull-out) teacher has to work with only one or two classroom teachers' schedules per grade level instead of the schedules of all the teachers at a particular grade level. The gifted-cluster teacher, whose gifted students venture to another classroom for pull-out services, understands that while they are gone, students who remain should be experiencing activities that reinforce standards the gifted students have already mastered. Therefore, when the gifted students return from the pull-out program, they do not have any missed work to make up, and the teacher does not have additional planning and record keeping that typically comes with makeup work.

When the SCGM is implemented with the goal that all teachers will eventually receive the related staff development, over time it is possible to ensure that all teachers who wish to teach a classroom with a gifted cluster will have that opportunity. At the same time, teachers and students in all classrooms benefit from the teachers' facility with differentiating the curriculum to meet students' varied learning needs.

Impact of the SCGM on Administrators

Schools that use the SCGM find that they can still offer valuable services for their gifted students even with tight budgets or lack of political support. This helps administrators assure parents of gifted students that their children's learning needs will be served. Cluster grouping actually provides full-time placement and service for gifted students in the schools *without* the cost of creating and maintaining a separate gifted-education program. Gifted education becomes part of the school culture.

When schools take the time to implement the SCGM strategically, carefully planning the groups for cluster classrooms, training as many teachers as possible to implement gifted-education strategies, educating parents about how their children's school experience will be affected, and conducting ongoing student assessments and program evaluations, the result is a cohesive and budget-friendly schoolwide approach to gifted education that brings the school community together as it raises student achievement levels.

Impact of the SCGM on Parents

Providing a cluster grouping model announces to the community that the school is committed to recognizing and serving its gifted students and enhancing achievement opportunities for all students. As parents of gifted students come to understand how clustering affects their children's learning opportunities, they see that the school is providing full-time gifted services, that teachers are responding to their children's needs, and that their gifted children spend time learning with other students of similar ability. Parents of students *not* identified as gifted are also likely to appreciate the opportunities the clustering arrangements and differentiation strategies afford their children. When these parents become familiar with the research that

shows how the SCGM facilitates the emergence of new leaders in classes that do not have a gifted cluster, they may realize that, for the first time, their children have more opportunities to demonstrate academic leadership. Many students have had this very positive experience as a result of schoolwide cluster grouping.

About This Book and Digital Content

Directed toward administrators and teachers, *The Cluster Grouping Handbook* offers information that will be useful to other school personnel and to parents as well. The book contains precise descriptions of how to develop and maintain a Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model, specific guidelines administrators and teachers can follow, and suggested criteria for analyzing the level of success of the model in schools. This book gives special attention to how schools can empower gifted English language learners and enfranchise them in gifted-education services. It also includes resources to help parents of gifted and high-achieving students find specific information that will improve their advocacy for the learning needs of their children. Examples throughout the book come from teachers the two authors have worked with, including many examples from the Paradise Valley School District in Arizona, where Dina Brulles works.

Placing gifted students into cluster groups within heterogeneous classes is only the beginning of the SCGM process. Educators must use effective teaching strategies for these students, and administrators must effectively supervise the students and teachers in the gifted clusters. How can schools construct and support the cluster model to serve the learning needs of gifted students effectively and improve achievement for other students as well? What are the critical components of a comprehensive cluster model? What methods can teachers and administrators use to gauge the effectiveness of the SCGM?

The mission of this book is to answer these questions.

The book is arranged in three parts:

Part 1: Implementing the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM). Chapter 1 provides a summary of the SCGM along with detailed information on how to start and maintain a Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model in your school, with special attention to forming the groups. Chapter 2 details suggestions for building and maintaining support for the SCGM. Chapter 3 presents and discusses both typical and atypical characteristics exhibited by gifted students and suggests specific strategies for identifying students for gifted-cluster groups. Chapter 4 focuses on factors to consider when staffing gifted-cluster classrooms; it also describes the roles and responsibilities of key gifted-education staff within the model.

Part 2: The SCGM in Action: How to Compact and Differentiate Curriculum for Advanced Learners. Part 2 will be especially helpful to classroom teachers. It consists of two chapters that explain and illustrate specific methods for compacting and differentiating the curriculum in order to challenge gifted learners appropriately. The chapters address strategies that will be effective when students have already mastered the curriculum (chapter 5) and when content is new (chapter 6).

Part 3: Sustaining the SCGM. Chapter 7 describes ongoing professional development plans for all staff members working in the SCGM. Chapter 8 offers information about ways to evaluate the model so it can be as effective as possible. It describes the kind of data schools will need to collect from the start of the school year, so educators may find it helpful to read this chapter as the SCGM is getting underway each year.

Throughout the book you will find highlighted information for special audiences and issues. “Supervisor Spotlight” sidebars offer administrators tips and reminders for generating and sustaining support for the SCGM

and monitoring how it is being implemented. “Achievement Advisory” sidebars hone in on ways the model can support academic progress for students. The book includes reproducible informational handouts and planning forms, often at the ends of corresponding chapters, and in the downloadable digital content. For information on how to access the digital content, see page PNT.

At the back of the book, you will find information for parents along with a listing of references and recommended books, organizations, websites, and other resources related to each

chapter’s topic. A glossary of terms related to education—particularly gifted education—is provided as well. Finally, in addition to the book’s reproducible handouts, the digital content contains a PDF presentation useful for introducing and explaining the SCGM to the school community.

Let’s get started!


Dina Brulles

giftededucationconsultants.com

Susan Winebrenner

susanwinebrenner.com





PART 1

Implementing the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM)

At the heart of the SCGM are three essential strategies:

- identifying and placing all students in heterogeneous classes that have a slightly narrowed range of student abilities
- ensuring that gifted students experience consistent curriculum compacting and differentiation opportunities
- providing appropriate professional development for staff

To put this model in place in a school or district involves a broad-based, nonlinear process. The four chapters in part 1 combine to lay the groundwork for this process. Chapter 1 details classroom structures and student placement. Chapter 2 describes a two-year timeline for implementing the SCGM. The timeline integrates identification, placement, and staffing with a plan for training teachers in the model and in differentiation strategies, for bringing parents on board, for implementing and maintaining the model over time, and for incorporating ongoing evaluation of how the model is supporting gifted students and raising overall student achievement. Identification of gifted students is the focus of chapter 3, along with information about gifted children's behavior and social and emotional needs. Chapter 4 presents three key staff positions for the model and provides information about selecting teachers for the gifted-cluster classrooms.

What Is the SCGM? How Does It Work?

GUIDING QUESTIONS

- › What are the components of the SCGM?
- › Exactly how should students be grouped in classes to realize the benefits of schoolwide cluster grouping?
- › How does the SCGM fit with other gifted-education services?
- › How does it fit with other classroom inclusion models?
- › Why are identified gifted students and high-achieving students not identified as gifted placed in different classrooms?
- › What do parents need to know about the SCGM?
- › How can school planners determine whether and when to initiate the SCGM?

Most educators choose careers in education because they care deeply about helping students learn and grow. In today's world, administrators and teachers alike face an almost overwhelming task to be accountable to students, to the communities they serve, and to the performance mandates in place through state and federal education legislation. All educators are acutely aware of the challenges entailed in balancing the needs of teaching the curriculum; reaching diverse learners below, at, and above the norm; and raising the overall rankings of the school as demonstrated in benchmark assessments. Can a model that focuses on gifted students really improve teaching and achievement levels for the broader student population? This chapter will help you understand the SCGM and the role it can play in bringing about this balance.

Overview of the SCGM

The effectiveness of the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model depends on the integration of five key components:

1. **Schoolwide implementation.** The SCGM involves the entire school community, including administrators, teachers, professional support staff, students, and parents.
2. **Placement of students.** With the SCGM, all students are grouped into clusters based on their ability and achievement level. The clusters are then placed in classrooms with carefully structured compositions to ensure a balance of abilities throughout each grade level and a learning range within each classroom that is narrower than in a typical heterogeneous classroom.

3. **Classroom strategies.** Teachers use differentiation methods that vary the content, pace, process, products, and learning environment for gifted students and for other students who also benefit from these opportunities. Ongoing assessment and identification of student strengths, abilities, and performance allows teachers to differentiate flexibly and effectively and ensures that all students make continuous progress.
4. **Professional development.** In the SCGM, staff training ensures that teachers learn to recognize gifted behaviors and to differentiate instruction to accommodate the exceptional educational needs of gifted students (students who learn at levels significantly beyond grade-level expectations). When teachers receive appropriate, ongoing training, the SCGM creates an environment that addresses the social and emotional needs of many gifted learners.
5. **Evaluation.** Data collection and evaluation of student and teacher growth are essential. Careful monitoring of progress in the SCGM can demonstrate that cluster grouping is being used effectively, and it can facilitate ongoing success with the model.

The focus of this chapter is on the first two components—understanding the schoolwide structure of the model and configuring the placement of students into cluster groups and classrooms.

A Word About Educational Terminology

Throughout this book, the term *cluster grouping* refers to the Schoolwide Cluster Grouping Model (SCGM). For definitions and explanations of other terms, refer to the glossary on page 246.

Placing Students in Cluster Groups

Cluster grouping occurs when a group of gifted students is placed with groups of other students in a mixed-ability classroom. Ideally, a group of four to ten identified gifted students, usually in the top 5 to 8 percent of achievement potential of the grade-level population, is clustered in a classroom with a teacher trained in how to teach students with exceptional abilities. If a grade level has more than ten gifted students, two or more classrooms may serve as gifted-cluster classrooms.

On page 16, you will find a chart that offers an example of student classroom placements using the SCGM. Prior to placing students into classrooms, educators must assign all students within a grade level to one of the following categories:

Group 1, Gifted. Students in Group 1 are identified as gifted by local criteria, because they have the highest ability in the grade level. This group includes gifted students who are nonproductive or underachievers, culturally diverse learners, English language learners (ELL students), and twice-exceptional students.

Group 2, High Achieving. Group 2 students are highly competent and productive, are working to the fullest extent of their abilities, and are not identified as gifted.

Group 3, Average. These students achieve in the middle (average) range compared to others in their grade level.

Group 4, Below Average. The students in Group 4 may struggle with math or reading and score slightly below grade level, but they can achieve at grade level with some support.

Group 5, Far Below Average. These students struggle with most subject areas and score significantly below proficiency levels on standardized tests.